DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES **Office of Inspector General**

Washington, D.C. 20201

May 20, 2010

TO: Marilyn Tavenner
Acting Administrator and Chief Operating Officer
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

FROM: /Daniel R. Levinson/
Inspector General

SUBJECT: Review of Central Louisiana State Hospital's Hurricane-Related Uncompensated Care Claims (A-06-09-00084)

Attached, for your information, is an advance copy of our final report on Central Louisiana State Hospital's hurricane-related uncompensated care claims. We will issue this report to the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals within 5 business days.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please do not hesitate to call me, or your staff may contact George M. Reeb, Assistant Inspector General for the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Audits, at (410) 786-7104 or through email at George.Reeb@oig.hhs.gov or Patricia Wheeler, Regional Inspector General for Audit Services, Region VI, at (214) 767-6325 or through email at Trish.Wheeler@oig.hhs.gov. Please refer to report number A-06-09-00084.

Attachment

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES **Office of Inspector General**

Office of Audit Services, Region VI

1100 Commerce Street, Room 632
Dallas, TX 75242

May 24, 2010

Report Number: A-06-09-00084

Mr. Alan Levine
Secretary
Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals
628 North Fourth Street
Baton Rouge, LA 70821

Dear Mr. Levine:

Enclosed is the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services (HHS), Office of Inspector General (OIG), final report entitled *Review of Central Louisiana State Hospital's Hurricane-Related Uncompensated Care Claims*. We will forward a copy of this report to the HHS action official noted on the following page for review and any action deemed necessary.

The HHS action official will make final determination as to actions taken on all matters reported. We request that you respond to this official within 30 days from the date of this letter. Your response should present any comments or additional information that you believe may have a bearing on the final determination.

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site. Accordingly, this report will be posted at http://oig.hhs.gov.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please do not hesitate to call me, or contact Michelle Richards, Audit Manager, at (214) 767-9202 or through email at Michelle.Richards@oig.hhs.gov. Please refer to report number A-06-09-00084 in all correspondence.

Sincerely,

/Patricia Wheeler/
Regional Inspector General
for Audit Services

Enclosure

Direct Reply to HHS Action Official:

Ms. Jackie Garner
Consortium Administrator
Consortium for Medicaid and Children's Health Operations
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
233 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 600
Chicago, IL 60601

Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

REVIEW OF CENTRAL LOUISIANA STATE HOSPITAL'S HURRICANE-RELATED UNCOMPENSATED CARE CLAIMS

Daniel R. Levinson
Inspector General

May 2010
A-06-09-00084

Office of Inspector General

http://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG's internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.

Notices

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at http://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BACKGROUND

In response to Hurricane Katrina, section 6201 of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 authorized Federal funding for the total costs of medically necessary uncompensated care furnished to evacuees and affected individuals without other coverage in eligible States, i.e., States that provided care to such individuals in accordance with section 1115 projects.

Pursuant to section 1115 of the Social Security Act, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) approved Louisiana's request for demonstration authority related to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. For Hurricane Katrina evacuees and affected individuals, CMS approved an uncompensated care pool to reimburse providers for medically necessary services provided to individuals without other coverage. CMS subsequently authorized the State to operate an uncompensated care pool for Hurricane Rita evacuees without other coverage. In approving the State's uncompensated care pool plan (the UCCP plan), CMS authorized reimbursement for uncompensated care provided to Katrina evacuees and affected individuals from August 24, 2005, through January 31, 2006, and to Rita evacuees from September 23, 2005, through January 31, 2006. The pool was 100 percent federally funded.

Before CMS approved the UCCP plan, Louisiana published an emergency regulation stating that reimbursement from the uncompensated care pool was available for specified services covered under the State Medicaid plan. In approving the UCCP plan, CMS specified that payment would be made in accordance with both the Medicaid plan and the UCCP plan and that expenditures above those limits were not reimbursable. The Medicaid plan limits inpatient psychiatric coverage for patients in institutions for mental diseases to those who are under the age of 21, and in some cases under the age of 22, as well as to those who are 65 years old or older.

As of December 31, 2006, the Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals (the State agency) reported $123.2 million in uncompensated care reimbursement to 834 health care providers. Central Louisiana State Hospital (the Hospital), an institution for mental diseases, received $3.7 million of this reimbursement.

OBJECTIVE

Our objective was to determine whether the State agency claimed reimbursement for services provided by the Hospital in accordance with Federal and State laws and regulations and with the approved provisions of the UCCP plan.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The State agency did not always claim reimbursement for services provided by the Hospital in accordance with Federal and State laws and regulations or with the approved provisions of the UCCP plan. Of the $3,703,995 in costs claimed for services provided to 86 patients, $267,078 was allowable. However, the State agency claimed $3,436,917 of unallowable costs for 81 patients, including:

- 78 patients whose care was not covered under the Medicaid plan because they were between the ages of 21/22 and 64,

- 9 patients who did not receive services on the dates claimed,

- 6 patients whose costs were paid by other sources, and

- 5 patients whose costs were reimbursed from the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool but who were not evacuees.

Some patients' costs were unallowable for more than one of these reasons.

The State agency claimed the unallowable costs because it (1) did not have procedures to ensure that it claimed uncompensated care costs only for services covered under the Medicaid plan; (2) relied on the Hospital to verify that the costs claimed were based on actual inpatient days; (3) did not offset its uncompensated care claim by payments received from other sources on behalf of the patients; and (4) did not have procedures to verify that patients whose costs were claimed under the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool were, in fact, evacuees.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the State agency refund to CMS the $3,436,917 in unallowable costs claimed. Because the State's authorization to obtain Federal reimbursement for hurricane-related uncompensated care has ended, we are not making procedural recommendations.

STATE AGENCY COMMENTS

In its comments on our draft report, the State agency disagreed with our findings and recommendation. The State agency said that it intended that its expenditure authority under the section 1115 demonstration project should be interpreted to include inpatient psychiatric services for all Hospital patients, including those between the ages of 22 and 65. With respect to our findings that the State agency claimed reimbursement for patients who did not receive services on the dates claimed and for patients whose costs had been paid by other sources, the State agency said that it was reviewing those claims. Finally, the State agency requested that we provide documentation of our finding that it claimed costs for five patients who were not Hurricane Rita evacuees so that it could conduct its own review.

The State agency's comments are included in their entirety as Appendix B.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL RESPONSE

We provided the State agency with the requested documentation. Nothing in the State agency's comments caused us to revise our findings or recommendation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act (the Act), the Medicaid program provides medical assistance to low-income individuals and individuals with disabilities. The Federal and State Governments jointly fund and administer the Medicaid program. At the Federal level, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) administers the program. Each State administers its Medicaid program in accordance with a CMS-approved State plan. Although the State has considerable flexibility in designing and operating its Medicaid program, it must comply with applicable Federal requirements.

Section 1115 Hurricane-Related Demonstration Projects

Section 1115 of the Act permits the Secretary to authorize demonstration projects to promote the objectives of the Medicaid program. Pursuant to section 1115, CMS may waive compliance with any of the requirements of section 1902 of the Act and provide Federal matching funds for demonstration expenditures that would not otherwise be included as expenditures under the State Medicaid plan.

In response to Hurricane Katrina, CMS announced that States could apply for section 1115 demonstration projects to ensure the continuity of health care services for hurricane victims. A State with an approved hurricane-related section 1115 demonstration project was eligible under section 6201 of the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 for Federal payment of the total costs of uncompensated care incurred for medically necessary services and supplies furnished to Hurricane Katrina evacuees and affected individuals who did not have other coverage for such assistance.

Louisiana's Approved Uncompensated Care Pool Plan

In a November 10, 2005, letter, CMS approved Louisiana's request for section 1115 demonstration authority and an uncompensated care pool to reimburse providers for medically necessary services and supplies for Hurricane Katrina evacuees who did not have insurance coverage or other available options. In a March 24, 2006, letter, CMS approved Louisiana's uncompensated care pool plan (the UCCP plan) and authorized reimbursement from the pool for services provided to Katrina evacuees and affected individuals from August 24, 2005, through January 31, 2006. The UCCP plan proposed to reimburse providers that incurred uncompensated care costs for which there was no other source of payment. In the approval letter, CMS specified that payment would be made in accordance with both the State Medicaid plan and the UCCP plan and that expenditures above those limits were not reimbursable.

In an April 28, 2006, letter, CMS also authorized Louisiana to operate an uncompensated care pool to reimburse providers serving Hurricane Rita evacuees who were not eligible for Medicaid or the State Children's Health Insurance Program (now known as the Children's Health Insurance Program) and who did not have other health insurance coverage. The letter required the State to adhere to the same methodology for operations and program integrity as described in

the Hurricane Katrina approval. The Hurricane Rita pool was approved for medically necessary services provided to evacuees from September 23, 2005, through January 31, 2006. The pool was funded through an interagency agreement between CMS and the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Disaster Medical System and was limited to the funding available under that agreement.

Louisiana's UCCP plan listed the broad categories of services that would be covered through the uncompensated care pool, including inpatient psychiatric services, and stated that payments would be based on the Louisiana Medicaid rate. Only Medicaid providers were eligible for reimbursement. The UCCP plan also provided that all claims would be reviewed before any payment and that applicable Federal and State laws and regulations would govern the prepayment investigation.

On March 20, 2006, before CMS approved the UCCP plan, the State published an emergency regulation to govern reimbursement from the uncompensated care pool.[1] Pursuant to the regulation, reimbursement was available for specified services covered under the State Medicaid plan, including inpatient psychiatric services. The State later published a final rule affirming that coverage through the uncompensated care pool was available for services covered under the Medicaid plan.[2]

The Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals (the State agency) administered the uncompensated care pool, which was 100 percent federally funded. As of December 31, 2006, the State agency reported $123.2 million in uncompensated care reimbursement to 834 health care providers, including State-operated inpatient psychiatric facilities. Central Louisiana State Hospital (the Hospital), located in Pineville, received $3.7 million of this reimbursement based on claims that the State agency submitted to CMS.

Reimbursement to Institutions for Mental Diseases

The Act provides that Federal reimbursement is not available under the State Medicaid plan for services furnished to certain patients in institutions for mental diseases (IMD). Clause (B) in the paragraph following section 1905(a)(28) of the Act excludes from the definition of medical assistance "any such payments with respect to care or services for any individual who has not attained 65 years of age and who is a patient in an institution for mental diseases." However, the State may opt to cover inpatient psychiatric hospital services for individuals under the age of 21. Pursuant to section 1905(h) of the Act, a State that elects to cover these services for individuals under the age of 21 may, in some cases, cover individuals up to the age of 22. Louisiana's approved Medicaid plan includes such coverage. Therefore, Federal reimbursement to the State is not available for services furnished to IMD patients aged 21/22 through 64 under the State Medicaid plan.

[1] 32 La. Reg. 377 (March 20, 2006).

[2] 32 La. Reg. 1902 (October 20, 2006) (to be codified at La. Admin. Code, Title 50, part XXII, chapters 41–53).

Federal regulations (42 CFR § 435.1010) define an IMD as a hospital, nursing facility, or other institution of more than 16 beds that is primarily engaged in providing diagnosis, treatment, or care of persons with mental diseases.

Central Louisiana State Hospital

The Hospital is a State-operated inpatient psychiatric treatment facility that provides services to adults, adolescents, and children. The Hospital meets the definition of an IMD.

During our audit period, the Hospital received reimbursement of $581.11 per day for inpatient psychiatric services. Before and after the dates of service covered by the UCCP plan, costs incurred by the Hospital for treating patients who had no other source of payment were paid with State funds.

OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

Objective

Our objective was to determine whether the State agency claimed reimbursement for services provided by the Hospital in accordance with Federal and State laws and regulations and with the approved provisions of the UCCP plan.

Scope

Our audit covered the $3.7 million in uncompensated care costs that the State agency reimbursed the Hospital and claimed for Federal reimbursement as of December 31, 2006. These claims had dates of service from August 24, 2005, through January 31, 2006.

We did not review the State agency's or the Hospital's overall internal control structures. We limited our review to obtaining an understanding of the policies and procedures used to identify and claim uncompensated care costs, account for billable inpatient days, and collect payments for patients who had another source of income.

We conducted our fieldwork at the Hospital in Pineville, Louisiana, and at the State agency in Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Methodology

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed applicable Federal and State laws and regulations, the approved State Medicaid plan, CMS approval letters, the approved section 1115 demonstration, and the approved UCCP plan;

- interviewed State agency and Hospital officials to (1) gain an understanding of claim procedures and supporting documentation and (2) determine the source of payment for

the costs incurred for treating patients before and after the dates of service claimed under the UCCP plan;

- obtained the State agency's database of uncompensated care claims paid to providers as of December 31, 2006, which totaled $123.2 million;

- verified that all paid uncompensated care claims were included on the Quarterly Medicaid Statement of Expenditures for the Medical Assistance Program, Form CMS-64, for our audit period;

- extracted from the State agency's database claims totaling $3,703,995 and paid to the Hospital for treating 86 patients for the period August 24, 2005, through January 31, 2006; and

- reviewed the claims and supporting documentation (patient financial records) to verify, for each of the 86 patients, that:

    - the services claimed were covered under the Medicaid plan,

    - the patient received services on the dates of service claimed and the claims were for eligible dates of service,

    - the patient did not have another source of payment available for the services under Medicare, Medicaid, private insurance, or a State-funded health insurance program,

    - the amount claimed for the patient was accurately calculated,

    - the patient's home address was within one of the individual assistance designation counties listed in an attachment to the UCCP plan, and

    - the patient was actually an evacuee if costs were claimed under the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

The State agency did not always claim reimbursement for services provided by the Hospital in accordance with Federal and State laws and regulations or with the approved provisions of the UCCP plan. Of the $3,703,995 in costs claimed for services provided to the 86 patients,

$267,078 was allowable. However, the State agency claimed $3,436,917 of unallowable costs for 81 patients, including:

- 78 patients whose care was not covered under the Medicaid plan because they were between the ages of 21/22 and 64,
- 9 patients who did not receive services on the dates claimed,
- 6 patients whose costs were paid by other sources, and
- 5 patients whose costs were reimbursed from the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool but who were not evacuees.[3]

Appendix A shows a breakdown, by patient, of the reasons for the unallowable costs.

The State agency claimed the unallowable costs because it (1) did not have procedures to ensure that it claimed uncompensated care costs only for services covered under the Medicaid plan; (2) relied on the Hospital to verify that the costs claimed were based on actual inpatient days; (3) did not offset its uncompensated care claim by payments received from other sources on behalf of the patients; and (4) did not have procedures to verify that patients whose costs were claimed under the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool were, in fact, evacuees.

UNALLOWABLE COSTS

Services Not Covered Under the Medicaid Plan

In approving the UCCP plan, CMS specified that payment would be in accordance with both the Medicaid plan and the UCCP plan and that expenditures above those limits were not reimbursable. Pursuant to 32 La. Reg. 1902, reimbursement from the uncompensated care pool was available for inpatient psychiatric services covered under the Medicaid plan. The Medicaid plan limits IMD inpatient psychiatric coverage to individuals who are (1) under the age of 21, or under the age of 22 if the individual was receiving such services immediately preceding the date on which he or she reached the age of 22, or (2) 65 years old or older.

The State agency inappropriately claimed costs for 78 patients aged 22 through 64 because it did not have procedures to ensure that it claimed uncompensated care costs only for services covered under the Medicaid plan.

Services Not Received

Section I.C of the UCCP plan stated: "Payments will be made only for covered services provided to eligible populations ...." Section 1.D of the UCCP plan stated that an attestation would be required from providers. The attestation form, which was signed by the acting assistant secretary

[3] Some patients' costs were unallowable for more than one reason. We questioned these costs only once.

of the State agency's Office of Mental Health, stated: "I certify that on this invoice ... the goods, services and/or supplies ... were actually provided to the above listed individual ...."

The State agency inappropriately claimed costs for nine patients who did not actually receive the services claimed. These patients were away from the Hospital on overnight passes for a total of 46 days claimed. According to State agency officials, if a patient was not in his or her bed at midnight, the Hospital should not have been reimbursed for that day.[4]

To ensure the validity of uncompensated care costs claimed on behalf of the Hospital, the State agency provided the Hospital with a list of potentially eligible patients and their potential dates of service and instructed the Hospital to perform random checks to verify the accuracy of the list. The Hospital confirmed that the individuals on the list were patients during the specified periods of service. However, the Hospital did not check patient records for days when patients were away on overnight passes and made no adjustments to the State agency's list to account for those days. As a result, the State agency claimed costs for services that were not received.

Reimbursement Received From Other Sources

Section 1.B of the UCCP plan limited reimbursement to services provided to evacuees and affected individuals for whom there were no other sources of payment. Section 1.D of the UCCP plan stated that an attestation would be required from providers. The attestation form, which was signed by the acting assistant secretary of the State agency's Office of Mental Health, stated: "I certify that no payment, either in full or in part, has been received from another entity on the above listed claims."

The State agency inappropriately claimed costs for six patients for whom the Hospital had received payments from other sources. Specifically, the Hospital had received Medicare payments and/or payments from the patients.[5] Although the Hospital did not offset its uncompensated care claims by the amounts of these payments, it provided a spreadsheet to the State agency detailing the payments. However, the State agency failed to offset its uncompensated care claim by these payments.

Hurricane Rita Costs Claimed for Nonevacuees

In its approval letter for the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool, CMS authorized the State agency to use the pool to reimburse providers for the costs of services provided to Hurricane Rita evacuees.

[4] In administering the Medicaid program, the State agency followed Medicare guidance regarding billable patient days for inpatient psychiatric facilities (IPF) under the IPF prospective payment system. According to CMS's *Medicare Claims Processing Manual*, Pub. No. 100-04, chapter 3, section 190.10.7, an IPF is to account for interrupted stays by counting from the day of discharge (e.g., the day that the patient leaves the facility on a pass) through the last day that the patient was not present in the facility at midnight. The facility should not be reimbursed for those days.

[5] The Hospital received reimbursement from more than one other source for four of the six patients.

The State agency inappropriately claimed costs for five patients whose costs were reimbursed from the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool but who were not evacuees. These individuals had been Hospital patients for 11 to 28 years before Hurricane Rita occurred.

To determine which patients' costs were eligible for reimbursement under the UCCP plan, the State agency electronically identified "free care" or "no pay" patients whose last known residences were in designated disaster areas and who had received services during the dates eligible for uncompensated care pool reimbursement. However, the State agency did not have procedures to verify that patients whose costs were claimed under the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool were, in fact, evacuees.

RECOMMENDATION

We recommend that the State agency refund to CMS the $3,436,917 in unallowable costs claimed. Because the State's authorization to obtain Federal reimbursement for hurricane-related uncompensated care has ended, we are not making procedural recommendations.

STATE AGENCY COMMENTS

In its comments on our draft report, the State agency disagreed with our findings and recommendation. The State agency said that, under its section 1115 demonstration project, CMS permitted Louisiana to claim Federal reimbursement for "all expenditures for medical services provided to individuals who are receiving inpatient psychiatric services under the demonstration project in freestanding facilities." The State agency indicated that it intended that this expenditure authority should be interpreted to include inpatient psychiatric services for all Hospital patients, including those between the ages of 22 and 65.

The State agency said that it had followed the processes outlined in its approved section 1115 demonstration project and approved UCCP plan and that it had clear procedures to ensure that it claimed uncompensated care costs only for services covered under the State Medicaid plan. The State agency explained that the benefits contained in its approved section 1115 demonstration project were broadly defined as those of the State Medicaid plan and included inpatient psychiatric services. The State agency said that it had intended to get 100-percent Federal funds for the psychiatric services provided at the Hospital. Furthermore, the State agency said that CMS had stated that the uncompensated care pool could be used to provide reimbursement for benefits not covered under Title XIX of the Act.

With respect to our findings that the State agency claimed reimbursement for patients who did not receive services on the dates claimed and for patients whose costs had been paid by other sources, the State agency said that it was reviewing those claims. Finally, the State agency requested that we provide documentation of our finding that it claimed costs for five patients who were not Hurricane Rita evacuees so that it could conduct its own review.

The State agency's comments are included in their entirety as Appendix B.

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL RESPONSE

The State agency furnished no evidence to support its contention about the intent of the demonstration provision and no evidence that Hospital patients were included in discussions with CMS. Furthermore, the State agency's intention is not evident in the broad wording of the expenditure authority. Thus, we have no basis to conclude that CMS approved Federal reimbursement for services provided to Hospital patients between the ages of 22 and 65.

As to the State agency's assertion that CMS had stated that the uncompensated care pool could be used to provide reimbursement for benefits not covered under Title XIX of the Act, the State's own emergency rule, issued on March 20, 2006, limited uncompensated care pool coverage to benefits under the State Medicaid plan. The State's rule specified that "reimbursement is available under the UCC [uncompensated care] pool for the following services covered under the Louisiana Medicaid State Plan." The covered services included "inpatient psychiatric services (free-standing psychiatric hospitals and distinct part psychiatric units)." Like other covered services listed in the State's emergency rule, inpatient psychiatric services furnished by psychiatric hospitals and distinct-part psychiatric units are covered under Louisiana's Medicaid plan. However, these services are covered under the State plan only for individuals under the age of 21/22 and individuals aged 65 or older.

In addition, the State agency provided no evidence that would invalidate our findings related to patients who did not receive services on the dates claimed, patients whose costs had been paid by other sources, or patients who were not Hurricane Rita evacuees. As requested, we provided the State agency with documentation of our finding that it claimed costs for patients who were not Hurricane Rita evacuees.

Nothing in the State agency's comments caused us to revise our findings or recommendation. The State agency should refund the entire $3,436,917 to CMS.

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A: REASONS FOR UNALLOWABLE COSTS FOR EACH PATIENT

1 The services were not covered under the State Medicaid plan.
2 The patient did not receive the services.
3 The patient's cost was paid by another source.
4 The patient's cost was claimed under the Hurricane Rita uncompensated care pool, but the patient was not an evacuee.

Office of Inspector General Review Determinations on the 86 Patients

Patient	1	2	3	4	No. of Deficiencies
1	x			x	2
2	x				1
3	x				1
4	x				1
5	x		x		2
6	x				1
7	x				1
8	x				1
9	x				1
10	x				1
11	x				1
12			x		1
13	x				1
14					0
15	x				1
16	x				1
17	x				1
18	x				1
19	x				1
20	x				1
21	x	x	x		3
22	x				1
23	x	x			2
24	x				1
25	x			x	2
26	x	x			2
27	x		x	x	3
28	x				1
29	x				1
30	x				1
31	x				1

Patient	1	2	3	4	No. of Deficiencies
32	x	x			2
33	x				1
34					0
35	x				1
36	x	x			2
37	x				1
38	x				1
39	x				1
40	x				1
41	x				1
42	x				1
43	x				1
44	x			x	2
45	x				1
46	x				1
47					0
48	x				1
49	x				1
50	x				1
51	x	x			2
52	x				1
53	x				1
54	x				1
55	x		x	x	3
56	x				1
57					0
58	x				1
59	x				1
60	x				1
61		x			1
62	x				1
63	x				1
64	x				1
65	x				1
66	x				1
67	x				1
68	x				1
69	x				1
70	x				1
71	x				1
72	x				1
73	x				1

Patient	1	2	3	4	No. of Deficiencies
74	x				1
75	x	x			2
76	x				1
77	x				1
78	x				1
79		x			1
80					0
81	x				1
82	x				1
83	x				1
84	x				1
85	x		x		2
86	x				1
Total	**78**	**9**	**6**	**5**	**98**

APPENDIX B: STATE AGENCY COMMENTS

Bobby Jindal
GOVERNOR

Alan Levine
SECRETARY

State of Louisiana
Department of Health and Hospitals
Bureau of Health Services Financing

February 12, 2010

Ms. Patricia Wheeler
Regional Inspector General for Audit Services
1100 commerce Street, Room 632
Dallas, TX 75242

Re: Report Number A-06-09-00084 – "Review of Central Louisiana State Hospital's Hurricane-Related Uncompensated Care Claims"

Dear Ms. Wheeler:

The Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals (LDHH) acknowledges receipt of your January 8, 2010 correspondence and the draft report entitled "Review of Central Louisiana State Hospital's Hurricane-Related Uncompensated Care Claims." It is our understanding that the report stems from a financial review to determine if Louisiana Medicaid claimed reimbursement for services provided by the Hospital in accordance with Federal and State laws and regulations and with the approved provisions of the UCCP plan. As a result of this review, it appears that your office recommends findings in four distinct areas and a return to CMS of $3,436,917 in unallowable costs. LDHH appreciates the opportunity to provide written comments regarding the recommendations contained in the draft report. For the reasons specified in the following paragraphs, the LDHH respectfully disagrees with the recommended findings and refund.

The first area wherein finding were recommended relates to services not being covered under the Medicaid Plan. Specifically the report alleges that the Louisiana State Plan and related regulations limits payments from the UCC pool to inpatient psychiatric services covered under the plan. The recommendation goes on to state that the Louisiana plan limits IMD inpatient psychiatric coverage to individuals who are under age 21, or under age 22 in certain circumstances, or age 65 or older. The findings allege that LDHH inappropriately claimed costs for 78 patients between the ages of 21/22 through 64. To the contrary, Louisiana Medicaid meticulously followed the processes outlined in its approved section 1115 demonstration project and its approved UCC pool plan. In following these processes, it is indisputable that Louisiana had clear procedures in place to ensure that it claimed uncompensated care costs only for services covered under the Medicaid plan.

As you are more than aware, on August 29, 2005, Louisiana was devastated by the landfall of Hurricane Katrina. This event is widely recognized as the worst natural disaster in the history of the United States. The main impacted area was southeast Louisiana, specifically the New Orleans area. As if Louisiana was not faced with a big enough emergency, on September 24, 2005, Louisiana was hit by Hurricane Rita. Hurricane Rita impacted southwestern Louisiana. Together, these two hurricanes placed Louisiana in a situation of medical crisis for all its citizens, especially the Medicaid and uninsured populations.

In response to this situation, Section 6201 of the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005 authorized federal funding for the total costs of medically necessary uncompensated care furnished to evacuees and affected individuals. In order to receive this 100% federal funding, states had to operate pursuant to a Section 1115 project. Louisiana submitted a Section 1115 waiver for Katrina evacuees that was memorialized in correspondence dated November 1, 2005, from Dr. Fred Cerise, then Secretary of LDHH, to Dr. Mark McCllellan, then Administrator for CMS. Attached to this waiver request was a draft Louisiana Hurricane Relief Waiver Uncompensated Care Costs Pool Plan. The purpose of this pool, as was made clear at the time, was to give the state access to federal funds that could be used to pay for medical services provided to individuals not eligible for Louisiana Medicaid. The specifics included Pool Coverage Eligibility Determinations, the definition of eligible populations, broken down by evacuee status, income and medical necessity, the definition of available benefits, and the eligibility process. The application packet of November 1, 2005 also contained a Multi-State Section 1115 Demonstration Application template. Finally, this packet contained CMS Special Terms and Conditions.

According to this packet and attachments, the benefits of this project were broadly defined as those of the State Plan Title XIX program in Louisiana. Without question, this definition included inpatient psychiatric services. Further, the attachments to the packet clearly listed out what Louisiana determined to be Louisiana Medicaid cost not otherwise matchable that it believed would be matched under this demonstration project. Included therein were "all expenditures for medical services provided to individuals who are receiving inpatient psychiatric services under the demonstration project in freestanding facilities."

CMS approved Louisiana's request for 1115 demonstration authority, which included the UCC pool methodology for Louisiana in order to reimburse providers that incur uncompensated care costs for medically necessary services and supplies for evacuees. CMS expressly stated that the pool may also be used to provide reimbursement for benefits not covered under Title XIX in the State Plan. Attached to this approval was the above mentioned explanation of Louisiana Medicaid costs not otherwise matchable which included the same language. Finally, in a letter dated March 24, 2006, CMS provided express approval for Louisiana's UCC pool plan for Katrina evacuees. In that letter, CMS clearly authorized Louisiana to reimburse providers that incurred uncompensated care costs for medically necessary services and medically necessary supplies for Katrina evacuees and affected individuals who do not have other coverage under Medicare, Medicaid, SCHIP, private insurance, or other State-funded health insurance programs. It was clearly stated that payment for service reimbursed from the pool would be in accordance with Louisiana's State plan in place on August 24, 2005, and the UCCP. Further, the UCC pool plan contained a specific section that outlined what would be considered allowable payments. Simply put, allowable payments were defined as payments for "covered services" provided to eligible populations. "Covered services" were defined in subsection C(1) and included, among other things, inpatient psychiatric services.

One of the providers participating in the UCC pool was Central Louisiana State Hospital (CLSH). CLSH is a freestanding hospital that provides inpatient psychiatric services. It provides these services to a wide range of ages, including individuals aged 22 to 65. Louisiana is aware that federal matching funds are not available under Title XIX for services provided in institutions for mental diseases (IMD) for this age group. However, Louisiana, in the case in question, was not,

and is not, seeking federal matching funds under its State Plan for these services. At each and every turn of this process, Louisiana Medicaid made it clear that it was seeking a demonstration "waiver" to lead to the formation of a UCC pool with 100% federal dollars. It is obvious that this was not the normal Medicaid funding process involving state and federal matching funds. On at least two separate occasions, Louisiana Medicaid provided CMS with a statement outlining Louisiana Medicaid costs not otherwise matchable.

Clearly the whole purpose of this statement was to get 100% funds for the psychiatric services provided at CLSH. Louisiana Medicaid would not have to seek any federal authority to make payments for the age 21 and under population, or the age 65 and over individuals, as it is already allowed to make payments for these services under the current provisions. These services would never fit under "Louisiana Medicaid costs not otherwise matchable" as they are expressly matchable. The main services that would not otherwise be matchable are obviously inpatient psychiatric services provided in freestanding psychiatric hospitals that fall into the definition of IMDs.

The second area where findings were recommended relates to "services not received on dates claimed." Specifically, the draft report alleges that the State agency inappropriately claimed costs for 9 patients that did not actually receive the services claimed. All of these claims related to overnight passes. LDHH is currently investigating the process and procedures related to these overnight passes. At the conclusion of this investigation, LDHH will forward its findings to your attention.

The third area where findings were recommended relates to reimbursement received from other sources. According to the draft report, the state agency inappropriately claimed costs for 6 patients for whom CLSH had received payments from other sources. Based on this information, LDHH is currently reviewing these claims to verify what actually occurred. When the review is completed, LDHH will provide more detail.

The fourth and final area where findings were recommended relates to patients who were not evacuees. According to the draft report, the state agency inappropriately claimed costs for 5 patients who were not Hurricane Rita evacuees. Please provide workpapers which document this recommended finding. Upon receipt of these workpapers, LDHH will review the medical records at CLSH to determine if these patients were evacuees.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at 225/342-3891.

Sincerely,

Jerry Phillips
Medicaid Director