Connecticut Properly Obligated and Liquidated Targeted Funds Under the Child Care and Development Fund Program

Inquiries about this report may be addressed to the Office of Public Affairs at Public.Affairs@oig.hhs.gov.

Michael J. Armstrong
Regional Inspector General

February 2013
A-01-12-02505
The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG’s internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.
Notices

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC
at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.
INTRODUCTION

WHY WE DID THIS REVIEW

The Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) provides discretionary funding for three targeted funds, administered at the Federal level by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (ACF) which are known as Infant and Toddler, Quality Expansion, and School Age Resource and Referral funds. These targeted funds are used for activities that improve the availability, quality, and affordability of childcare and to support the administration of these activities. The funds are 100 percent federally funded. Previous Office of Inspector General reviews found that one State did not always comply with Federal requirements when claiming targeted funds for reimbursement. Therefore, we have expanded our review of these targeted funds to Connecticut.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this review was to determine whether the Connecticut Department of Social Services (State agency) complied with Federal requirements for the use of CCDF targeted funds for Federal reimbursement for Federal fiscal years (FY) 2007 through 2009.

BACKGROUND

Under the CCDF program, States have considerable latitude in implementing and administering their childcare programs. Each State must develop and submit to ACF for approval a State plan that identifies the purposes for which CCDF funds will be expended for two grant periods (i.e., 2 FYs). Program requirements state that a State agency has 2 FYs to obligate CCDF funds and a third FY to liquidate those funds. The following table shows the obligation and liquidation periods for each FY covered by our review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Obligation Period Start Date</th>
<th>Obligation Period End Date</th>
<th>Liquidation Period End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The State plan must also designate a lead agency responsible for administering childcare programs. In addition, States are required to report expenditures of targeted funds on the quarterly Child Care and Development ACF-696 Financial Report (ACF-696 report), which is a cumulative report for the FY.

As the lead agency in Connecticut, the State agency must oversee the expenditure of funds by contractors, grantees, and other agencies of the State government to ensure that these entities expend funds in accordance with Federal requirements. The State agency entered into contracts with these entities; for each such contract, the funds were considered obligated with the execution (that is, the signing) of the contract.

HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS REVIEW

We reviewed $5,959,252 of targeted fund expenditures claimed by the State agency for FYs 2007 through 2009. We did not perform a detailed review of the State agency’s internal controls because our objective did not require us to do so. We limited our review to the controls related to the obligation and liquidation of the targeted funds.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Appendix A contains details of our audit scope and methodology, and Appendix B contains details on the Federal requirements related to CCDF targeted funds.

We conducted fieldwork at the State agency in Hartford, Connecticut, from July through October 2012.

RESULTS OF REVIEW

Of the $5,959,252 of CCDF targeted funds that we reviewed, the State agency complied with Federal requirements for the obligation and use of the full amount of the targeted funds for FYs 2007 through 2009. Accordingly, this report contains no recommendations.
APPENDIX A: SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

SCOPE

We reviewed $5,959,252 of targeted fund expenditures claimed by the State agency for FYs 2007 through 2009. We did not perform a detailed review of the State agency’s internal controls because our objective did not require us to do so. We limited our review to the controls related to the obligation and liquidation of the targeted funds.

We conducted fieldwork at the State agency in Hartford, Connecticut, from July 2012 through October 2012.

METHODOLOGY

To accomplish our objective, we:

• reviewed applicable Federal laws, regulations, and program guidance, as well as State laws and the approved Connecticut CCDF State plans;

• reviewed the ACF-696 reports for FYs 2007 through 2009 to determine the amount of targeted funds that the State agency claimed;

• interviewed State agency staff responsible for preparing the ACF-696 reports to obtain an understanding of how the reports were prepared, how the targeted funds were reported, and what documentation was maintained to support expenditures on the reports;

• reviewed the State agency’s contracts with contractors and other agencies of the Connecticut State government to determine the dates on which the contracts were signed in relation to the obligation requirements of the targeted funds for FYs 2007 through 2009;

• reviewed the State agency’s payment dates to contractors and other agencies of the Connecticut State government in relation to liquidation requirements of the targeted funds for FYs 2007 through 2009;

• reviewed the State agency’s documentation used to prepare the ACF-696 reports;

• reviewed documentation submitted by contractors to the State agency in support of the expenditure of targeted funds; and

• discussed the results of our review with State agency officials on January 7, 2012.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions.
based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.
APPENDIX B: FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO CHILD CARE AND DEVELOPMENT FUND TARGETED FUNDS

FUND OBLIGATION REGULATIONS

Federal regulations (45 CFR § 98.60(d)(1)) state: “Discretionary Fund allotments shall be obligated in the fiscal year in which funds are awarded or in the succeeding fiscal year. Unliquidated obligations as of the end of the succeeding fiscal year shall be liquidated within one year.”

Federal regulations (45 CFR § 98.60(d)(7)) state that “[a]ny funds not obligated during the obligation period specified in paragraph (d) of this section will revert to the Federal government. Any funds not liquidated by the end of the applicable liquidation period specified in paragraph (d) of this section will also revert to the Federal government.”

ACTIVITY REGULATIONS

Federal regulations (45 CFR § 98.16(h)) require that the approved CCDF State plan include “… a description of the activities to provide comprehensive consumer education, to increase parental choice, and to improve the quality and availability of child care, pursuant to 45 CFR § 98.51.”

Federal regulations (45 CFR §§ 98.51(a) and (b)) require that no less than 4 percent of the aggregate funds be spent on activities to improve the quality of childcare and that the Lead Agency describe in the CCDF State plan the activities it will fund under the quality activities (which includes the targeted funds).