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The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

**Office of Audit Services**

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

**Office of Evaluation and Inspections**

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

**Office of Investigations**

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

**Office of Counsel to the Inspector General**

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG’s internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.
Notice

THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC
at https://oig.hhs.gov

Section 8L of the Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App., requires that OIG post its publicly available reports on the OIG Web site.

OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.
INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Community Services Block Grant Program

The Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) program was reauthorized by the Community Opportunities, Accountability, and Training and Educational Services Act of 1998, P.L. No. 105-285 (the CSBG Act), to provide funds to alleviate poverty in communities. Within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Community Services, administers the CSBG program. The CSBG program funds a State-administered network of more than 1,000 local Community Action Agencies (CAA) that create, coordinate, and deliver programs and services to low-income Americans. The CAAs provide services and activities addressing employment, education, housing, nutrition, emergency services, health, and better use of available income.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. No. 111-5 (Recovery Act), enacted February 17, 2009, provided an additional $1 billion to ACF for the CSBG program in fiscal year (FY) 2009. Recovery Act funds for the CSBG program were distributed to CAAs using the existing statutory formula. As with annually appropriated CSBG funds, Recovery Act funds were to be used to reduce poverty, revitalize low-income communities, and help low-income Americans. CSBG services funded by the Recovery Act were to be provided on or before September 30, 2010.

Maryland’s Department of Housing and Community Development

Section 676(a) of the CSBG Act requires each State to designate an appropriate State agency to act as the lead agency for carrying out the State’s CSBG activities. Maryland’s Department of Housing and Community Development (the State) acts as the lead agency to carry out State activities for the CSBG program. The State is responsible for approving CAA Recovery Act grant applications and monitoring the CAAs for compliance with program requirements. The State received $13,719,817 in Recovery Act funds for the CSBG program.

United Communities Against Poverty, Inc.

United Communities Against Poverty, Inc. (United), a nonprofit organization, has provided services to individuals and families in Prince George’s County, Maryland, since 1964. During FY 2009, the State awarded United $563,183 in CSBG grant funds and $907,817 in CSBG Recovery Act funds.

Federal Requirements for Grantees

Section 678D(a)(1)(B) of the CSBG Act requires that States receiving CSBG funds ensure that costs and accounting standards of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) apply to a recipient of the funds under this subtitle. As a result, ACF determined that nonprofit Community Action Agencies are subject to 45 CFR part 74. The Federal regulations (45 CFR § 74.27(a))
state that the allowability of costs for nonprofit organizations will be determined in accordance with OMB’s *Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations* (2 CFR part 230).\(^1\)

To be allowable under a Federal award, costs must be reasonable, allocable, and adequately documented (2 CFR part 230, App. A, A.2.a and A.2.g). Additionally, salary and wage costs should be based on documented payrolls and the distribution to awards must be supported by personnel activity reports (2 CFR part 230, App. B, 8.m(1)).

**OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY**

**Objective**

Our objective was to determine whether the State claimed CSBG Recovery Act costs on behalf of United that were allowable under the terms of the grant and applicable Federal regulations.

**Scope**

We reviewed $622,781 of the $907,817 claimed by United under its CSBG Recovery Act agreement with the State for the period from July 1, 2009, through September 30, 2010. This review is part of a series of audits planned by the Office of Inspector General to provide oversight of funds provided by the Recovery Act. We did not perform an overall assessment of United’s internal control structure. Rather, we reviewed only the internal controls that pertained to our objective.

We performed our fieldwork at United’s office in Capitol Heights, Maryland, during August and September 2011.

**Methodology**

To accomplish our objective, we:

- reviewed relevant Federal requirements;
- confirmed that United was not excluded from receiving Federal funds;
- reviewed the terms and conditions of the Recovery Act Grant Agreement between United and the State, and relevant State guidance;
- reviewed United’s FY 2008 and 2010 State monitoring reports;
- reviewed United’s policies and procedures related to its CSBG programs;
- reviewed United’s by-laws and minutes from the Board of Directors meetings, and the

\(^1\) OMB Circular A-122, *Cost Principles for Non-Profit Organizations* was relocated to 2 CFR part 230.
composition of the Board;

- reviewed United’s FY 2008 Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 audit reports and the attached Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs;

- reviewed United’s budget, general ledger, and each cost category as a percentage of total costs; and

- judgmentally selected a sample of transactions totaling $622,781 ($503,768 in subcontract and other costs, and $119,013 in salaries) for detailed test, which included, but was not limited to, reviewing United’s documentation supporting the transactions for allowability in accordance with terms of the grant and Federal requirements.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

RESULTS OF AUDIT

CSBG Recovery Act costs that the State claimed for United were allowable in accordance with the terms of the grant and Federal requirements. Consequently, this report has no recommendations.