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The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

**Office of Audit Services**

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

**Office of Evaluation and Inspections**

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. These evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of departmental programs. To promote impact, OEI reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

**Office of Investigations**

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of fraud and misconduct related to HHS programs, operations, and beneficiaries. With investigators working in all 50 States and the District of Columbia, OI utilizes its resources by actively coordinating with the Department of Justice and other Federal, State, and local law enforcement authorities. The investigative efforts of OI often lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, and/or civil monetary penalties.

**Office of Counsel to the Inspector General**

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support for OIG’s internal operations. OCIG represents OIG in all civil and administrative fraud and abuse cases involving HHS programs, including False Claims Act, program exclusion, and civil monetary penalty cases. In connection with these cases, OCIG also negotiates and monitors corporate integrity agreements. OCIG renders advisory opinions, issues compliance program guidance, publishes fraud alerts, and provides other guidance to the health care industry concerning the anti-kickback statute and other OIG enforcement authorities.
OFFICE OF AUDIT SERVICES FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable, a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, and any other conclusions and recommendations in this report represent the findings and opinions of OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS operating divisions will make final determination on these matters.
INTRODUCTION


WHY WE DID THIS REVIEW

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) contracted with National Government Services (NGS) to process Part A claims under Medicare Contract 00450. CMS requested that we perform an audit of NGS’s Medicare Part A final administrative costs proposal (FACP) for fiscal year (FY) 2010 and the first quarter of FY 2011.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this review was to determine whether administrative costs NGS reported on its cost proposals for FY 2010 and the first quarter of FY 2011 were reasonable, allowable, allocable and in compliance with part 31 of the Federal Acquisitions Regulations (FAR) and the Medicare contract.

BACKGROUND

Title XVIII of the Social Security Act established the Medicare program. CMS administers the Medicare program through contractors, including Part A fiscal intermediaries that process and pay Medicare claims submitted by health care providers. Contracts between CMS and the Medicare contractors define the functions to be performed and provide for the reimbursement of allowable administrative costs incurred in the processing of Medicare claims.

Following the close of each Federal FY, contractors submit to CMS an FACP that reports the Medicare administrative costs incurred during the year. The FACP and supporting data provide the basis for the CMS contracting officer and contractor to negotiate a final settlement of allowable administrative costs. When claiming costs, Medicare contractors must follow cost reimbursement principles contained in part 31 of the FAR and other applicable criteria.

NGS processed Part A claims in four states from October 1, 2009, through December 31, 2010 under its Medicare Part A contract 00450. During this period, NGS claimed administrative costs totaling $64,416,703. Of this amount, we reviewed $63,290,022 in administrative costs, but excluded $1,126,681 in pension costs that will be the subject of a separate review.
HOW WE CONDUCTED THIS REVIEW

We reviewed a random sample of 240 items from eight cost categories\(^1\) along with 30 judgmentally selected salary and related fringe charges to determine if the costs claimed were reasonable, allowable and in compliance with the FAR and other applicable criteria. We limited our internal control review to those controls related to the recording and reporting of costs on the cost proposals.

We conducted fieldwork at the NGS facility in Indianapolis, Indiana from August through September 2014.

See Appendix A for details of our audit scope and methodology. Appendix B contains details of the cost proposals.

RESULTS OF REVIEW

The $63,290,022 in costs covered by our review was allowable under the terms of the Medicare contract and applicable Federal Regulations. Accordingly, this report contains no recommendations.

\(^1\) Cost categories included facilities, mail, medical operations, appeals, provider outreach and education, business support operations, electronic data interchange and overpayment recovery.
APPENDIX A: SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

SCOPE

Our audit covered the period from October 1, 2009, through December 31, 2010. For this period, National Government Services claimed administrative costs to CMS totaling $64,416,703. This total included pension costs of $1,126,681 that we did not review because they will be the subject of a separate review. We limited our internal control review to those controls related to the recording and reporting of costs on the cost proposals. We accomplished our objective through random testing.

We conducted fieldwork at the contractor’s financial operations office in Indianapolis, IN from August 2014 through September 2014.

METHODOLOGY

To accomplish our objective, we:

- review applicable Medicare laws, regulations and guidelines;
- reviewed NGS’s contracts with CMS;
- reviewed National Government Services external audit reports for calendar year 2010 and an Office of Inspector General audit report for Federal FY 2008 and 2009;
- interviewed NGS officials regarding the cost accumulation processes for its FACP and cost allocation system;
- reconciled line item expenses on the cost proposal and cost classification report to NGS accounting records;
- tested costs for reasonableness, allowability and allocability by reviewing contracts and agreements and by randomly selecting journal entries, invoices, expense vouchers and reports, payroll journals, corporate bonus plans and personnel records; and
- reviewed total compensation paid to the five highest paid executives.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.
APPENDIX B: FINAL ADMINISTRATIVE COST PROPOSALS WITH RECOMMENDED COSTS FOR ACCEPTANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Category</th>
<th>FY 2010</th>
<th>1st Quarter FY 2011</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bills/Claims Payment</td>
<td>$13,912,734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeals/Reviews</td>
<td>4,522,179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beneficiary Inquiries</td>
<td>138,071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement</td>
<td>4,028,838</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity Investment</td>
<td>1,139,153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Enrollment</td>
<td>1,472,676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Telephone Inquiries</td>
<td>3,152,518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Outreach &amp; Education</td>
<td>10,584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits</td>
<td>(2,992,204)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Review</td>
<td>6,831,831</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP Prepayment</td>
<td>462,965</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits Integrity</td>
<td>117,202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>15,846,343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSP Post Payment</td>
<td>13,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP Provider Outreach &amp; Education</td>
<td>1,664,506</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Renewal (Severance)</td>
<td>661,407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Costs Claimed</strong></td>
<td>$50,982,703</td>
<td>13,434,000</td>
<td>64,416,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less Pension Costs Not Reviewed</strong></td>
<td>$953,364</td>
<td>173,317</td>
<td>1,126,681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Costs Reviewed</strong></td>
<td>$50,029,339</td>
<td>13,260,683</td>
<td>63,290,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommended For Acceptance</strong></td>
<td>$50,029,339</td>
<td>13,260,683</td>
<td>63,290,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>