REVIEW OF CLAIMS FOR MULTIPLE PROCEDURES PERFORMED IN THE SAME OPERATIVE SESSION IN AMBULATORY SURGICAL CENTERS
Dear Mr. Swanke:

This report provides you with the results of our nationwide analysis entitled *Review of Claims for Multiple Procedures Performed in the Same Operative Session in Ambulatory Surgical Centers* (ASC). The objective of our analysis was to evaluate the effectiveness of carriers’ claims processing systems in identifying payment reductions for multiple ASC procedures for calendar years 1997 through 2001. Nationwide, we identified 21,056 instances of overpayments totaling $5,103,361, out of a total 54,549 ($50,733,584) instances in which multiple ASC procedures performed during the same operative session were split between claims. Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration’s portion of the total overpayments was approximately $591,510.

Regulations require that when multiple services are provided in the same operative session, the highest paying procedure is reimbursable at the full payment rate while the other procedures are reimbursable at one-half the normal payment rate. Our analysis showed that Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration’s systems failed to identify such instances, which resulted in provider overpayments for calendar years 1997 through 2001 of approximately $31,062, $105,744, $130,010, $146,782, and $177,912 ($591,510), respectively. Included in the identified overpayments is approximately $127,868 in beneficiary overpayments for coinsurance. Most of the overpayments occurred because the carrier’s processing system did not identify multiple procedures performed during the same session when submitted on separate claims.

We are recommending that Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration:

1. Recover the $463,642 ($591,510 - $127,868) in Medicare overpayments to ACSs;

2. Instruct ACSs to refund related coinsurance as required in 42 CFR 416.30, section C;

3. Identify and recoup all similar overpayments made between January 1, 2002 and the effective implementation of system changes to ensure that multiple procedures performed during the same operative session are paid properly, and;
4. Take necessary actions (such as edits, provider education, and/or carrier in-house training) to preclude such overpayments in the future.

Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration agreed with our findings and recommendations. Cigna’s response, in its entirety, is attached to this report (see Appendix A).

INTRODUCTION

Background

An Ambulatory Surgical Center or ASC is a distinct entity that operates exclusively for the purpose of providing surgical services to patients not requiring hospitalization.

To participate in the Medicare program as an independent ASC, a facility must meet the standards specified under section 1832(a)(2)(F)(I) of the Social Security Act (the Act) and 42 CFR 416.25. To be covered as an independent (distinct part) ASC operated by a hospital, a facility:

- Elects to do so, and continues to be covered unless CMS determines there is good cause to do otherwise;
- Is a separately identifiable entity, physically, administratively, and financially independent and distinct from other operations of the hospital with costs for the ASC treated as a non-reimbursable cost center on the hospital’s cost report, and;
- Meets all the requirements with regard to health and safety, and agrees to the assignment, coverage and payment rules applied to independent ASCs.

Medicare payment for outpatient surgical procedures generally consists of two components: the cost of services furnished by the facility where the procedure is performed (the facility or technical component), and the cost of the physician’s services for performing the procedure (the professional component). The facility component includes non-physician medical and other health services.

As specified under section 1833(i)(1)(A) of the Act, Medicare pays only for specific surgical procedures. The ASC accepts Medicare’s payment for such procedures as payment in full with respect to those services defined as ASC facility services in HCFA Pub. 14, section 2265.2. Generally, covered ASC facility services are items and services furnished in connection with covered ASC surgical procedures. Covered ASC surgical procedures are listed in section 2266.2, Addendum A of the CMS Carriers Manual (HCFA Pub. 14). These procedures are classified into eight standard overhead amounts or payment groups, and payments to ASCs are made on the basis of prospectively set rates assigned to each payment group.

Regulations regarding Medicare payments for multiple surgical procedures performed in an ASC are contained in Title 42 Part 416.120 of the Code of Federal Regulations (42CFR416.120).
According to 42CFR416.120, when one covered surgical procedure is furnished to a beneficiary in an operative session, payment is based on the prospectively determined rate for that procedure. When more than one surgical procedure is furnished in a single operative session, payment is based on the full rate for the procedure with the highest prospectively determined rate and one half of the prospectively determined rate for each of the other procedures.

ASC facility services are subject to the Medicare Part B percent coinsurance and deductible requirements. Therefore, Medicare payment is 80 percent of the prospectively determined rate, adjusted for regional wage variations. The beneficiary’s coinsurance amount is 20 percent of the assigned rate.

ASC facilities, under the Terms of agreement with HCFA (42CFR416.30, section C), agree to refund as promptly as possible any money incorrectly collected from beneficiaries or from someone on their behalf.

OBJECTIVE, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

Objective

The objective of this review was to determine whether the carriers’ controls over processing ASC facility claims for multiple procedures performed in the same operative session are in accordance with Medicare rules and regulations.

Scope

Our review was performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. Through a series of matching applications utilizing the nationwide Medicare Part B claims file processed by CMS for calendar years 1997 through 2001, we identified 54,549 instances in which multiple ASC procedures performed during the same operative session were split between claims. The associated claims, which served as the universe for our review, amounted to a total of $50,733,584 in provider reimbursements, excluding deductible amounts. Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration’s portion of the total universe was $3,958,186. Our review did not require an understanding or assessment of the complete internal control system.

Methodology

A computer application used CMS’s National Claims History file for calendar years 1997 through 2001 to identify beneficiary claims for the same operative session that did not indicate reductions for multiple surgeries. Preliminary results for 1997 through 1999 were forwarded to carriers in Missouri (Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Kansas and Missouri Medicare Services), California (National Heritage Insurance Co.), Florida (First Coast Service Options, Inc.), and Texas (Trail Blazer Health Enterprises, LLC) to verify that our analysis was correct.

We conducted our review during 2001 and 2002 at the Kansas City Regional Office, Kansas City, Missouri.
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings

Our analysis of ASC facility charges for calendar years 1997 through 2001 indicates that carriers’ control over processing claims for multiple ASC procedures performed in the same operative session are not in accordance with Medicare rules and regulations. Payments to ASC facilities for multiple surgeries performed in the same operative session were not being paid at the reduced rate.

Our review of ASC facility claims processed by Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration for calendar years 1997 through 2001 indicated overpayments in 2,666 out of 4,215 instances in which multiple procedures provided during the same operative session were split between claims. The dollar amount of overpayments was approximately $591,510 out of approximately $3,958,186 in provider reimbursements excluding deductible amounts. Included in the identified overpayments is approximately $127,868 in beneficiary overpayments for coinsurance. Most of the overpayments occurred because the carrier’s processing system did not identify multiple procedures performed during the same session when submitted on separate claims.

Computer applications used CMS’s National Claims History file for calendar years 1997 through 2001 to identify beneficiary claims for the same operative session that did not indicate reductions for multiple surgeries for non-hospital based ASC facility services. Our analysis indicated the carriers’ payment editors were not reducing the payments for multiple payments as required by 42CFR416.120. Preliminary results for 1997 through 1999 were forwarded to carriers in Missouri (Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Kansas and Missouri Medicare Services), California (National Heritage Insurance Co.), Florida (First Coast Service Options, Inc.), and Texas (Trail Blazer Health Enterprises, LLC) to verify that our analysis was correct.

Interviews with representatives for the five carriers mentioned above confirmed that program edits were not identifying all procedures subject to the rate reduction for multiple procedures performed during the same operative session when billed on separate claims. For example, beneficiary A has three multiple surgeries (in the same operative session) in ASC facility A. Facility A bills for two of the procedures on one claim. The carrier pays facility A the correct amount (the highest cost procedure is paid at 100 percent and the second procedure is paid at 50 percent of the rate), for the original claim. Facility A bills for the third procedure from the same operative session on a separate claim. Reimbursement for this procedure should also be reduced 50 percent. The carrier’s payment editor did not recognize the procedure on the second processed claim as one of multiple procedures performed in the same session and therefore paid the claim at the full surgical rate. According to representatives for two of the carriers interviewed, in some instances the program editor suspended the claims for manual review, but the manual processor erroneously overrode the edit because of lack of training.
Recommendations

We are recommending that Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration:

1. Recover the $463,642 ($591,510 - $127,868) in Medicare overpayments to ACSs;

2. Instruct ACSs to refund related coinsurance as required in 42 CFR 416.30, section C;

3. Identify and recoup all similar overpayments made between January 1, 2002 and the effective implementation of system changes to ensure that multiple procedures performed during the same operative session are paid properly, and;

4. Take necessary actions (such as edits, provider education, and/or carrier in-house training) to preclude such overpayments in the future.

Cigna Healthcare Medicare Administration agreed with our findings and recommendations. Cigna’s response, in its entirety, is attached to this report (see Appendix A).

*****

Final determinations as to actions taken on all matters will be made by the HHS official named below. We request you respond to the official within 30 days from the date of this letter. Your response should present any comments or additional information that you believe may have a bearing on final determination.

In accordance with the principles of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 522, as amended by Public Law 104-231, Office of Inspector General, Office of Audit Services reports are made available to members of the public to the extent information contained therein is not subject to exemptions of the ACT (see 45 CFR Part 5). As such, within ten business days after the final report is issued, it will be posted on the world-wide-web at http://oig.hhs.gov/.

To facilitate identification, please refer to the referenced Common Identification Number A-07-03-02657 in all correspondence relating to this report.

Sincerely yours,

James P. Aasmundstad
Regional Inspector General
For Audit Services

Enclosure
HHS Action Official
Rose Crum-Johnson
Regional Administrator, Region IV
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, S.W., Suite 4T20
Atlanta, GA 30303-8909
November 27, 2002

James P. Aasmundstad  
Regional Inspector General for Audits Services 
Office of Inspector General 
601 East 12th Street, Room 284A 
Kansas City, MO 64106

RE: A-07-03-02657

Dear Mr. Aasmundstad,

On October 24, 2002, your office released the draft report entitled “Review of Claims for Multiple Procedures Performed in the Same Operative Session in Ambulatory Surgical Centers (ASC)”. As Part B claims processed by CIGNA HealthCare Medicare Administration (CIGNA) were included in your sample, you have requested our views relative to the validity of the facts and reasonableness of the recommendations presented. We thank you for the opportunity to respond. We believe that by working cooperatively together in a spirit of quality improvement for all, the best results can be achieved.

We have reviewed a random sample of the claims overpaid by CIGNA from the report you provided. We have identified two situations that caused the overpayments as follows:

- The first occurred when an ASC facility received denials on one or more lines of a claim because of incorrect coding and, subsequently, resubmitted a corrected claim to receive payment for the denied lines. When reprocessing the corrected claim, CIGNA’s system edits were not set to follow multiple surgical guidelines to appropriately reduce the payment (i.e., the highest paying procedure should have been reimbursed at 100% and other procedures reimbursed at 50%).
- The second involves fragmented submission of claims for the same date of service. For example, an ASC submitted a partial claim which adjudicated appropriately; however, subsequent claims for the same date of service were not reduced according to established multiple surgical guidelines.

Both of these situations that caused overpayments are due to inadequacies within our system edit table. When the ASC billed services on two or more claims, the subsequent claims did not always edit against the initial claim and the multiple procedures were each reimbursed at 100%. Unfortunately, in both situations, the ASC facilities received overpayments.

Previous CMS guidance has not directed that ASC claims adhere to the global surgical package. Changes should be made to the system logic to suspend a facility fee on an incoming claim when a history claim is found with a facility fee billed on the same date of...
service. The suspended claims should then be reviewed and paid in accordance with the multiple surgical guidelines.

Now that this matter has been brought to our attention, CIGNA will investigate and implement necessary protocols to ensure that appropriate internal edits are implemented and we will re-train the claims processing staff to prevent future overpayments. CIGNA will also educate ASC facilities regarding proper claim submission and the importance of refunding co-insurance on claims that were overpaid due to lack of multiple surgery edits.

Our proposed specific action steps are as follows:

- CIGNA will begin to develop and test system edits on December 9, 2002. We will implement edits into our production region on January 6, 2003, and provide in-house training to claims staff to ensure that multiple procedures performed during the same operative session in an ASC are suspended and paid properly.

- CIGNA will identify and recover all similar overpayments made between January 1, 2002 and the effective implementation of the system change noted above.

- CIGNA will ensure collaboration between the provider education and Medical Review staff to educate ASC providers who demonstrate an ongoing lack of understanding of the multiple procedure reduction for services performed during the same operative session. We will conduct either one-on-one training or specialty-specific training for the providers who are identified as having been overpaid during 2002, based on the number of affected providers.

- CIGNA requests that the OIG recommend to CMS to provide Carriers with consistent overpayment verbiage to use in overpayment demand letters that:
  - notify the providers of the overpayment and appropriate appeal rights; and,
  - instruct the ASCs to refund related coinsurance as required in 42 CFR 416.30, section C.

We thank you for bringing this to our attention and hope the action steps we have indicated above will resolve this overpayment issue. If there are any questions related to the above, please contact Linda Potts, Compliance Analyst, at 615.782.4556.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Edward H. Burrell
Vice President
CIGNA HealthCare Medicare Administration
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cc: Rose Crum-Johnson
Helen Mayhew, Contractor Manager, Atlanta Regional Office
John Hoey, CIGNA
Darrell Tackett, CIGNA
Frank Mantero, CIGNA